

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume I — Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part C, Risk Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives)

Interim

Office of Emergency and Remedial Response U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460

NOTICE

The policies set out in this document are intended solely as guidance; they are not final U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) actions. These policies are not intended, nor can they be relied upon, to create any rights enforceable by any party in litigation with the United States. EPA officials may decide to follow the guidance provided in this document, or to act at variance with the guidance, based on an analysis of specific site circumstances. The Agency also reserves the right to change this guidance at any time without public notice.

This guidance is based on policies in the Final Rule of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), which was published on March 8, 1990 (55 Federal Register 8666). The NCP should be considered the authoritative source.

CONTENTS

		Page
NOTIC	E	ii
EXHIB	ITS .	v
DEFIN	ITIOI	NS vi
ACRO	NYMS	S/ABBREVIATIONS
ACKNO	OWLE	EDGEMENTSxi
PREFA	CE .	xii
1.0	INT	RODUCTION 1
	1.1	SCOPE AND OVERVIEW OF PART C
		1.1.1 Scope 1 1.1.2 Overview 3
	1.2	RELEVANT STATUTES, REGULATIONS, AND GUIDANCE
		1.2.1 CERCLA/SARA 6 1.2.2 NCP 6 1.2.3 Other Relevant Guidance 7
	1.3	LEVEL OF EFFORT 7
	1.4	IMPORTANCE OF RISK COMMUNICATION
	1.5	MANAGEMENT AND DOCUMENTATION
	1.6	ORGANIZATION OF THE DOCUMENT 8
2.0	RISI	K EVALUATION DURING THE FEASIBILITY STUDY
	2.1	RISK EVALUATION DURING DEVELOPMENT AND SCREENING OF ALTERNATIVES
		2.1.1 Consideration of Long-term Human Health Risks 11 2.1.2 Consideration of Short-term Human Health Risks 11
	2.2	RISK EVALUATION DURING DETAILED ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES 12
		2.2.1 Evaluation of Long-term Human Health Risks for Detailed Analysis
	2.3	CASE STUDIES
3.0	RISE	K EVALUATION AFTER THE FEASIBILITY STUDY
	3.1	RISK EVALUATION FOR THE PROPOSED PLAN

CONTENTS (Continued)

			Pa	age
	3.2	DOC	UMENTATION OF RISKS IN THE ROD	25
	3.3	RISK	EVALUATION DURING REMEDIAL DESIGN/REMEDIAL ACTION	25
		3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3	Assessing Attainment of Selected Remediation Levels	26
		3.3.4	During Implementation	
	3.4	RISK	EVALUATION DURING FIVE-YEAR REVIEWS	27
		3.4.2	Purpose of Five-year Reviews	27
REFER	ENCE	ES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29
APPENI	DIX A	\	SELECTED REMEDIATION TECHNOLOGIES AND ASSOCIATED POTENTIAL RELEASES	31
APPENDIX B		3	QUANTIFYING POTENTIAL RELEASES FROM SELECTED REMEDIATION TECHNOLOGIES	43
	B.1	SOIL	S HANDLING TECHNOLOGIES	43
	B.2	THE	RMAL DESTRUCTION TECHNOLOGIES	43
	B.3	SOLI	DIFICATION/STABILIZATION TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES	44
	B.4		ERENCES FOR DETERMINING RELEASES RESULTING FROM REMEDIAL VITIES	
		B.4.2 B.4.3	Various Remedial Activities Soils Handling Thermal Destruction Stabilization/Solidification	45 46
APPENDIX C			SHORT-TERM TOXICITY VALUES	49
	C.1	BACK	GROUND ON EXPOSURE DURATION	49
	C.2	EXIS	TING SHORT-TERM TOXICITY VALUES	50
			Toxicity Values for Assessing Risk of Noncarcinogenic Effects for Short-term Exposure	50 54
APPENI	DIX E)	RADIATION REMEDIATION TECHNOLOGIES	57

EXHIBITS

Exhibit	\mathbf{P}_{2}	ige
1-1	RELATIONSHIP OF HUMAN HEALTH EVALUATION TO THE CERCLA PROCESS	. 2
1-2	SUMMARY OF RISK EVALUATIONS OF REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES	. 4
1-3	RISK EVALUATION OF REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES IN THE CERCLA PROCESS	5
2-1	ILLUSTRATION OF AN EXPOSURE PATHWAY FOR A REMEDIAL ACTION	17
2-2	ILLUSTRATION OF CUMULATIVE EXPOSURES FROM MULTIPLE RELEASES	18
A-1	REMEDIATION TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTIONS	32
A-2	REMEDIATION TECHNOLOGIES AND SOME POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT RELEASES	37
D-1	POTENTIAL RELEASES OF RADIOACTIVITY ASSOCIATED WITH RADIATION REMEDIATION TECHNOLOGIES	58
D-2	DEGREE OF POTENTIAL SHORT- AND LONG-TERM RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH RADIATION REMEDIATION TECHNOLOGIES	62

DEFINITIONS

Term	Definition
Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs)	"Applicable" requirements are those clean-up standards, standards of control, and other substantive environmental protection requirements, criteria, or limitations promulgated under federal or state law that specifically address a hazardous substance, pollutant, contaminant, remedial action, location, or other circumstance at a Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) site. "Relevant and appropriate" requirements are those clean-up standards which, while not "applicable" at a CERCLA site, address problems or situations sufficiently similar to those encountered at the CERCLA site that their use is well-suited to the particular site. ARARs can be action-specific, location-specific, or chemical-specific.
Exposure Pathway	The course a chemical or physical agent takes from a source to an exposed organism. An exposure pathway describes a unique mechanism by which an individual or population is exposed to chemicals or physical agents at or originating from a site. Each exposure pathway includes a source or release from a source, an exposure point, and an exposure route. If the exposure point differs from the source, a transport/exposure medium (e.g., air) or media (in cases of intermedia transfer) also would be indicated.
Exposure Point	A location of potential contact between an organism and a chemical or physical agent.
Exposure Route	The way a chemical or physical agent comes in contact with an organism (i.e., by ingestion, inhalation, dermal contact).
Final Remediation Levels	Chemical-specific clean-up levels that are documented in the Record of Decision (ROD). They may differ from preliminary remediation goals (PRGs) because of modifications resulting from consideration of various uncertainties, technical and exposure factors, and all nine selection-of-remedy criteria outlined in the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP).
Long-term Risks	Risks that remain after remedy implementation is complete (i.e., residual risks).
Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs)	Initial clean-up goals that (1) are protective of human health and the environment and (2) comply with ARARs. They are developed early in the process based on readily available information and are modified to reflect results of the baseline risk assessment. They also are used during analysis of remedial alternatives in the remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS).

DEFINITIONS (Continued)

Term	Definition		
Remedial Alternative	An action considered in the feasibility study intended to reduce or eliminate significant risks to human health and/or the environment at a site. A range of remedial alternatives are considered in detail by the FS while the selection of a specific remedial alternative over others is documented in the ROD.		
Remedial Action	The selected alternative that is documented in the ROD.		
Risk-based Concentrations	Concentration levels for individual chemicals that correspond to a specific cancer risk level (e.g., 10^{-6} , 10^{-4}) or hazard quotient (HQ) or hazard index (HI) (e.g., less than or equal to 1). They are generally selected as preliminary or final remediation goals when ARARs are not available.		
Short-term Risks	Risks that occur during implementation of a remedial alternative. Some "short-term" risks can occur over a period of many years (e.g., risk associated with air stripper emissions).		

ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

ACGIH	
	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
AlC	Acute Inhalation Criteria
APCD	Air Pollution Control Device
ARARs	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
CEGL	Continuous Exposure Guidance Level
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
ECAO	Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office
EEGL	Emergency Exposure Guidance Level
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables
ННЕМ	Human Health Evaluation Manual
ні	Hazard Index
HQ	Hazard Quotient
IDLH	Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
LOAEL	Lowest-observed-adverse-effect-level
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MRL	Minimal Risk Level
NCP	National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NOAEL	No-observed-adverse-effect-level
NRC	National Research Council

ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS (Continued)

Acronym/ Abbreviation	Definition
ORD	Office of Research and Development
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PEL	Permissible Exposure Level
POTW	Publicly Owned Treatment Works
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
PRG	Preliminary Remediation Goal
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
RAGS	Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REL	Recommended Exposure Level
RfC	Reference Concentration
RfD	Reference Dose
RI/FS	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
RME	Reasonable Maximum Exposure
ROD	Record of Decision
RPM	Remedial Project Manager
RQ	Reportable Quantity
RREL	Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SPEGL	Short-term Public Emergency Guidance Level
TLV-C	Threshold Limit Values - Ceiling
TLV-STEL	Threshold Limit Values - Short-term Exposure Limit
TLV-TWA	Threshold Limit Values - Time-weighted Average
TSC	Superfund Health Risk Technical Support Center

ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS (Continued)

Acronym/ Abbreviation	Definition	
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act	
VOCs	Volatile Organic Compounds	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This manual was developed by the Toxics Integration Branch (TIB) of EPA's Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Hazardous Site Evaluation Division. A large number of EPA regional and headquarters managers and technical staff (see below) provided valuable input regarding the organization, content, and policy implications of the manual throughout its development.

ICF Incorporated provided technical assistance to EPA in the development of this manual, under Contract Nos. 68-01-7389, 68-W8-0098, and 68-03-3452. S. Cohen and Associates (SC&A) provided assistance in the development of Appendix D, under EPA Contract No. 68-D9-0170.

WORKGROUP

EPA HEADQUARTERS

Office of Emergency and Remedial Response: Rhea Cohen, David Cooper,

Steve Golian, Jennifer Sutter, Ed Hanlon.

James Konz, Tracy Loy, Bruce Means Bob Dvcr

Office of Radiation Programs:

Region 6:

Office of General Counsel:

Office of Policy, Planning, and Evaluation:

Office of Waste Programs Enforcement:

Larry Starfield
Pepi Lecayo
Steve Ells

Office of Health and Environmental Assessment: Kevin Garrahan

EPA REGIONAL OFFICES

Region 1: Ann-Marie Burke, Jeri Weiss

Region 5: Alison Hiltner, Jae Lee,

Andrew Podowski
Jon Rauscher

Region 10: Judi Schwarz, Carol Sweeney

OTHER EPA OFFICES

Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory: Pat Lafornara
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards: Fred Hauchman

Office of Health and Environmental Assessment: Pei-Fung Hurst

STATE AGENCIES

Michigan Department of Natural Resources: Chris Flaga

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection: Linda Cullen

PREFACE

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume I — Human Health Evaluation Manual (RAGS/HHEM) Part C is one of a three-part series. Part A addresses the baseline risk assessment; Part B addresses the development of risk-based preliminary remediation goals. Part C provides guidance on the human health risk evaluations of remedial alternatives that are conducted during the feasibility study, during selection and documentation of a remedy, and during and after remedy implementation. Part C provides general guidance to assist in site-specific risk evaluations and to maintain flexibility in the analysis and decision-making process. This guidance does <u>not</u> discuss the evaluation of ecological effects that takes place during remedy selection and implementation, nor does it discuss the risk management decisions that are necessary at a CERCLA site (e.g., selection of final remediation goals). The potential users of Part C are persons involved in the remedy selection and implementation process, including risk assessors, risk assessment reviewers, remedial project managers, and other decision-makers.

This manual is being distributed as an interim document to allow for a period of field testing and review. RAGS/HHEM will be revised in the future, and Parts A, B, and C will be incorporated into a single final guidance document. Additional information for specific subject areas is being developed for inclusion in a later revision. These areas include:

- development of short-term inhalation toxicity values;
- short-term worker health and safety issues; and
- determination of attainment of final remediation goals.

Comments addressing usefulness, changes, and additional areas where guidance is needed should be sent to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Toxics Integration Branch (OS-230). Office of Emergency and Remedial Response 401 M Street, SW Washington, DC 20460

Telephone:

202-260-9486

FAX:

202-260-6852